

## Applying concept of the “Law of Escalation” to BSV vs BTC.

### (Based on Game Theory by Professor Jiang Xueqin)

The professor says: **Control > Dominance.**

Not the strongest player (the one with the most market cap, or hash rate) wins, but the one who controls the escalation ladder: deciding when to climb, when to descend, at what intensity, and when to stop the fight. The weak can win by mastering timing and calibration, even if they look inferior. Exact mapping to the “game” BSV vs BTC:

Role in the professor’s schoolyard analogy	Player	Dominance (raw power)	Control of the escalation ladder (what really matters)
The big bully	BTC	Yes (massive market cap, institutional adoption, ETFs, dominant narrative, historical hash rate)	No (depending on Core devs, constant changes, regulatory pressure, and price hype)
The skinny kid	BSV	No (small market cap, less media attention, low price)	Yes (immutable protocol, Satoshi’s original vision, real scalability without changes)

### How the weak (BSV) wins according to the Law of Escalation

1. Doesn’t fight on the strong player’s turf BTC wants the battle in “narrative + price + hype.” BSV doesn’t play that game (just like the professor says in his other class). Instead of escalating in marketing or promises, BSV stays on a fixed protocol (no SegWit, no Taproot, no unnecessary changes). That is pure control: it forces BTC to climb a ladder that BSV simply chooses to ignore.
2. **Controls the rhythm of the escalation:**
  - When BTC pumps on hype (ETFs, Wall Street), BSV lowers the intensity and keeps working quietly: giant blocks, near-zero fees, micropayments, and real on-chain enterprise data.
  - When BTC hits its limits (congestion, high fees, broken scalability), BSV climbs the ladder at the perfect moment by showing real-world transactions at massive scale (millions of TPS with no drama).

The strong player (BTC) is forced to respond on ground where it is weak: real utility versus narrative.

3. **Extra advantage:** Satoshi Nakamoto on BSV's side — tools to control the price escalation and legal aspects from the BSV community's perspective (with Craig Wright as the central figure claiming the Satoshi identity), having the original creator is like holding the master switch for price escalation on both chains. Satoshi can choose the exact timing for any reveal, movement, or validation that shifts perception across both networks.

This gives two concrete pieces of evidence often cited that Satoshi controls the cycle highs:

- Evidence 1 (early cycle control): Satoshi bought 80,000 bitcoins at \$21 when the open market price was only \$0.9. Immediately afterward, that cycle's peak reached ~\$21. The timing and volume show precise control over the price ceiling.
- Evidence 2 (recent ATH alignment): Satoshi publicly stated that BTC would never exceed \$100,000. The last BTC all-time high was ~\$126,000 — which exactly matches \$100,000 adjusted for inflation since 2020. The prediction aligned perfectly with the real peak once inflation is factored in.

These moves are not random; they demonstrate calibrated control over when and how high the price climbs on the BTC side, while BSV stays focused on utility. On the legal front, the same control extends to court battles and protocol legitimacy: the original vision (locked in BSV) becomes the reference point that regulators and courts must eventually confront, forcing BTC to react defensively instead of leading.

**Schoolyard analogy** (Jiang style):

- BTC is a big bully with lots of friends and fame.
- BSV is the skinny kid who kept the original game manual.  
The bully can hit hard, but the skinny kid decides when to provoke and when to step back. If the kid provokes at the exact moment the bully is politically or regulatorily vulnerable (congestion, broken promises, legal pressure), the bully overextends and loses legitimacy. In the end, the skinny kid doesn't need to win by brute force... he controls when the fight ends and keeps the whole playground (real digital money utility).

Professor Jiang's conclusion applied here Whoever controls the escalation controls the game. BTC has dominance today, but BSV (the weak player) holds the ladder: immutable protocol + original vision + (per the evidence above) the Satoshi card that can calibrate price and legal perception on both sides at the perfect moment. It's never about who is bigger right now — it's about who decides the rhythm and the ending of the conflict. That is exactly what the professor teaches: the weak player who masters the timing always ends up winning the game.